## **Sector Support Funding Application – Independent Evaluation**

## **Appraisal Guidance:**

Bids will be appraised by the SELEP Secretariat and the SELEP Accountable Body. This is to ensure that the requirement of the SELEP Assurance Framework to ensure that all investments are independently evaluated, is met. The appraisal will be proportionate to the value of the investment. The appraisal will be made to ensure the bid fits with the criteria as laid out below. If the project does not meet all of the criteria, it is not suitable for this funding.

Applicant	Thames Gateway Kent Partnership	
Lead Contact for Project	Richard Longman	
Project	North Kent Enterprise Zone: Enabling and Marketing	
Reviewed by	Lorna Norris	
Date	Initial review: 26/03/2018 Final review following clarifications: 09/04/2018	
Outcome:	This project is assessed as meeting the criteria for funding.	

# **Criteria for Funding**

All funding applications must meet the following criteria:

Criterion	Notes	Reviewer Comments
Scope must be <b>pan LEP</b>	The project must be able to demonstrate impact in at least three of the SELEP federated areas, preferably all four	This project will specifically operate within the North Kent area, however, it is anticipated to realise benefits across the whole of the SELEP area, especially in the Thames Gateway / Estuary area
Federated areas must support the project	Project sponsors must discuss the project with Federated Boards or their lead officer/Director for every federated area impacted	This Project received approval from the SELEP Strategic Board on the 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2017.
The outcomes must align with SELEPs overarching objective to <i>create the conditions</i> for increased <b>jobs and homes</b> , safeguarding	Given the low investment levels of this fund, it is not expected that the projects will directly deliver greater numbers of jobs and homes but	The project will support delivery of Jobs in the area

existing jobs and raising skill levels	applicants must be able to demonstrate how the project will be part of creating the conditions for	
	greater numbers of jobs and homes	
	,	
The project must align with the SELEP	Applicants should highlight which objective(s)	Project focuses on the Thames Gateway which is
priorities as defined in the <b>Strategic Economic Plan</b>	that their project supports. If you are unable to identify an objective to align to, this would	a key priority for the SELEP; it also aligns to a number of other priorities
Economic Flan	suggest your project is not suitable for this	number of other priorities
	funding	
The project must be for a discrete piece of work, not ongoing or business as usual (BAU)	This funding is project based, that is a stand-alone piece of work, with defined start and finish dates	This is for a one-off cost to meet the LDO cost
costs	and clearly identified inputs and outputs.	
Minimum application value is £25,000 and	Bids outside of this range will not be considered	Value sought is £161,000
maximum application value is £200,000		
Funding will be awarded as a grant to	Capital bids will not be considered	This is a revenue cost
support <b>revenue</b> spending only	Capital bias will not be considered	This is a revenue cost
Match funding of <b>30%</b> must be	Applicants must be able to evidence at least 30%	Match funding is 42.2%
demonstrated	of match funding at time of application. This match can be either cash or non-cash. The source	
	of this match must be identified and other SELEP	
	funding or SELEP resource (including SELEP	
	working group time) cannot be submitted as	
	match	
Projects are expected to be a maximum of 12	Funding can be provided in more than one	A small amount of funding requested for
months duration. Exceptions will be	financial year if the 12 month period straddles	2017/18; remaining funding required in 2018/19
considered on a case by case basis	two financial years	
The project must demonstrate Value for	Further guidance on demonstrating Value for	BCR based on the direct benefit to the public
Money and comply with the SELEP Assurance	Money in a proportional manner can be found in	sector are expected to range between:

Framework	Annex A	£338,000: £278,310 = 1.21:1; and £534,000: £278,310 = 1.92:1
		The above calculation indicates that medium VFM is only achieved if the most optimistic estimates in business rate yield are realised and the anticipated, but unquantified, additional benefits are taken into account.
		It should be noted that the above BCR assumptions are based only on the potential benefits arising from the acceleration of business rate yield; there are also further potential benefits identified to the businesses occupying the investment space which can be expected to realise a public value benefit which is unquantified. For example, additional Gross Value Added benefits are likely to occur though the acceleration of job creation though the delivery of the employment space.  The above satisfies the Assurance Framework requirement for VFM under exemption 1.
The project must be supported by a lead County/Unitary Authority or equivalent	The preferred route of contracting would be with one of the County/Unitary authorities. Other contracts will be considered on a case by case basis	Medway Council is the lead authority for any SELEP funding awarded for this project, however the project is also supported by Maidstone Borough Council.

### **SELEP Assurance Framework Value for Money Requirements:**

To receive a recommendation for approval, projects should have a Benefit Cost Ratio of at least 2:1 or comply with one of the two exemptions listed below:

Exemption 1: This may be applied where a project does not present High Value for Money (a Benefit Cost Ratio of over 2:1); but

- has a Benefit Cost Ratio value of greater than 1.5:1; or
- where the project benefits are notoriously difficult to appraise in monetary terms.

### Exemption 1 will only apply if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The funding sought from SELEP in relation to the project must be less than £2.0m and to conduct further quantified and monetised economic appraisal would be disproportionate; and
- (2) where there is an overwhelming strategic case (with minimal risk in the other cases); and
- (3) there are qualitative benefits which, if monetised, would most likely increase the benefit-cost ratio above 2:1.

<u>Exemption 2</u>: This may be applied where a project does not demonstrate a High Value for Money (a Benefit Cost Ratio of over 2:1), but has a Benefit Cost Ratio of over 1:1, and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) there is an overwhelming strategic case that supports the prioritisation of this project in advance of other unfunded investment opportunities identified in the SEP; and
- (b) there is demonstrable additionality which will be achieved through investment to address a clear market failure; and
- (c) there are no project risks identified as high risk and high probability after mitigation measures have been considered; and
- (d) there are assurances provided from the organisations identified below that the project business case, including value for money, has been considered and approved for funding through their own assurance processes.
  - (1) A Government Department;
  - (2) Highways England;
  - (3) Network Rail;
  - (4) Environment Agency; or
  - (5) Skills Funding Agency.