

New Submissions and Amendments for the Forward Plan

Issue (1)	FP Reference Number (2)	Accountability Board Member (3)	Lead Officer (4)	Is the decision to be taken in private and if so the reasons why? Is any information relating to the decision to be considered confidential and is so why? (5)	Stakeholder involvement (how and when will they be involved in the decision making process (6)	List of documents to be submitted to the Accountability Board for consideration. (7)	How and when will the decision be taken (8)
Local Growth Fund: Eastbourne Town Centre Movement & Access Package – approve full Business Case for £2.495m of £6m allocation towards Eastbourne town centre improvements being implemented as part of the	FP/AB/013	Councillor Keith Glazier	Jon Wheeler 01273 482212 Jonathan.wheeler@eastuss ex.gov.uk	Public Decision	Team East Sussex – advised that business case being developed/sub mitted as part of regular LGF project monitoring process	Full Business Case (£2.495m of £6m Local Growth Fund allocation)	Decision to be taken by the Accountability Board on 8 th April.

Arndale shopping centre extension in Eastbourne							
Colchester ITP Town Centre Package	FP/AB/021	Cllr Finch	Alastair Southgate	N/A	N/A	Revised business case to reflect proposed change in scope of the Colchester ITP Town Centre Package	February SELEP Accountability Board following technical assessment by the ITE
Agree business cases for Kent Sustainable Access to Education and Employment (Kent Rights of Way Improvement Plan) brought forward through the Independent Technical Evaluator (ITE) process, for 2016/17 – 2020/21 LGF allocation.	FP/AB/022	Paul Carter	<p>Tim Read Tim.Read@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Katie Stewart katie.stewart@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Kent County Council</p>	Public decision		Project Business Case and ITE review	SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016

<p>Agree business cases for Kent Strategic Congestion Management Plan (KSCMP) brought forward through the Independent Technical Evaluator (ITE) process, for 2016/17 LGF allocation.</p>	<p>FP/AB/023</p>	<p>Paul Carter</p>	<p>Tim Read Tim.Read@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Katie Stewart katie.stewart@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Kent County Council</p>	<p>Public Decision</p>		<p>Project Business Case and ITE review</p>	<p>SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016</p>
<p>Agree business cases for Kent Sustainable Interventions Supporting Growth (KSIP) brought forward through the Independent Technical Evaluator (ITE) process for 2016/17 LGF</p>	<p>FP/AB/024</p>	<p>Paul Carter</p>	<p>Tim Read Tim.Read@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Katie Stewart katie.stewart@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Kent County Council</p>	<p>Public Decision</p>		<p>Project Business Case and ITE review</p>	<p>SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016</p>

allocation.							
Agree business cases for West Kent LSTF brought forward through the Independent Technical Evaluator (ITE) process, for 2016/17 to 2020/21 funding allocation.	FP/AB/025	Paul Carter	<p>Tim Read Tim.Read@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Katie Stewart katie.stewart@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Kent County Council</p>	Public Decision		Project Business Case and ITE review	SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016
Skills Capital Process – adoption of new criteria and processes to greater involve federated areas.	FP/AB/027	Angela O’Donoghue	<p>Mike Rayner mike.rayner@kent.gov.uk</p>	Public decision	Skills Advisory Group	Skills Capital Guidance and form.	At the 8th April Accountability board

<p>Agree to award the contract following the Independent Technical Evaluator Procurement</p>	<p>FP/AB/028</p>	<p>Cllr Finch</p>	<p>Adam Bryan adam.bryan@essex.gov.uk</p>	<p>Yes. The decision is to review the outcome of the procurement which should remain confidential until the decision is made and contract awarded.</p>	<p>All SELEP partners have a nominated officer to be involved in the evaluation process.</p>	<p>Report summarising the findings from the evaluation of the Procurement</p>	<p>Decision to be taken by the Accountability Board on the 8th April</p>
<p>Agree business cases for Thurrock Cycle Network to be brought forward through the Independent Technical Evaluator (ITE) process, for 2016/17 to 2018/19 funding allocation.</p>	<p>FP/AB/029</p>	<p>John Kent</p>	<p>LStevens@thurrock.gov.uk</p>	<p>Public Decision</p>		<p>Project Business Case and ITE review</p>	<p>Decision to be taken by the Accountability Board on the 8th April</p>

<p>Acknowledges the progress on the A13 widening project;</p> <p>Confirms the adopted approach to scheme development;</p> <p>Confirms transfer of £5m development funding to Thurrock Council;</p> <p>Agrees to the reliance on DfT to scrutinise the Final Business Case submission for the funding for delivery. The SE LEP ITE role to be limited to the assessment of the scheme in terms of understanding the risk profile to SE LEP of cost overruns and</p>	FP/AB/030	John Kent	<p>Ann Osola</p> <p>Aosola@thurrock.gov.uk</p>	Public Decision	DfT to approve Full Business Case	A13 Widening – Project Report	<p>SELEP Accountability Board</p> <p>08/04/2016</p>
--	-----------	-----------	--	-----------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

management of said risks							
Agreeing the SELEP Operating Budget for 2016/17	FP/AB/031	Nicole Wood	Suzanne Bennett suzanne.bennett@essex.gov.uk	Public Decision	N/A	Report outlining the operating budget for 2016/1	SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016
Agreeing the LGF Capital Programme Budget for 2016/17	FP/AB/032	Nicole Wood	Suzanne Bennett suzanne.bennett@essex.gov.uk	Public Decision	N/A	Report outlining the LGF capital budget for 2016/17	SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016
Skills Round 4	FP/AB/033	Angela O'Donoghue	Mike Rayner Mike.rayner@kent.gov.uk	Public Decision	Skills Advisory Group		SELEP Accountability Board 08/04/2016

(1) A short title, which can be used to identify the issues being considered.

(2) If making an amendment to an item already on the forward plan, please insert the reference number. Otherwise one will be allocated to the decision by the Secretariat.

(3) Name of the Board Member bringing the Report

(4) Name and contact details of the lead officer for the decision.

(5) A note of why privacy and/or confidentiality are considered necessary with appropriate narrative.

(6) Who has been consulted in taking this decision.

(7) What relevant reports and background papers are available.

(8) Who is likely to take the decision and when.